UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,138 | 12/30/2003 | Heung-Yong Ha | 9717.24US01 | 1780 |
| 23552 7590 04/16/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHEN, BRET P | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/16/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/751,138 | HA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Bret Chen | 1792 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,16 and 18 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,13,14 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,4,5,7-12 and 19-23 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | withdrawn from consideration. d to. or election requirement. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the second and the second area and the second area are also as a second and the second area are also as a second area area are also as a second area are also as a second area. | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 7-23 are pending in this application. Amended claims 1-5, 8-14, 17 and canceled claim 6 are noted.

The amendment dated 1/4/08 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendments to the specification and claims. In view of said amendments, the objection to the abstract and the 112 rejection have been withdrawn.

Claims 15-16, 18 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

During a subsequent search, prior art was found which have been incorporated into an art rejection. Hence, the indication of allowable subject matter has been withdrawn. The examiner regrets the inconvenience.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3, 13-14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Datta et al. (5,558,957). Datta discloses a method for making a flexible primary battery suitable for SSPD applications (abstract) in which metal foils are formed on a polymeric sheet and subsequently etched to form a specific thickness (col.2 lines 6-15). In one embodiment, the polymeric sheet can be a flexible lithium salt-doped polymeric electrolyte membrane and the metal film can be a flexible foil cathode (col.2 lines 16-27). Figure 1 shows a polymer

electrolyte membrane 16 and a lithium foil anode 14 and a foil cathode 18 on top (col.3 lines 4-30). The membrane can contain fluorine such as lithium tetrafluoroborate (LiBF.sub.4) (col.4 lines 1-12) and the thickness of the film can be less than 50 micrometers (col.2 lines 6-15). However, the reference fails to specifically teach the use of the membrane in a fuel cell.

It is noted that the reference above clearly teaches using the membrane for batteries. One skilled in the art would know that fuel cells generally differ from that of batteries as to the type of moving ions. For fuel cells, generally, hydrogen and oxygen move while in batteries, most any kind of ions would work. But the membranes themselves can be the same material for either fuel cells or batteries. It would have been obvious to utilize Datta's process in fuel cells with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

The limitations of claims 3, 13-14, 17 have been addressed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4-5, 7-12, 19-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art references do not teach the appropriate thin film material or the appropriate deposition technique.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,138

Art Unit: 1792

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bret Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792 4/11/08